

## **PRESENTER**



### **Vanessa Bruton, TGT Legal, Auckland**

Vanessa leads the litigation team at TGT Legal, a boutique trust firm, which she was invited to join in March 2011 as a result of increasing demand for advice in contentious trust matters. Vanessa practises almost exclusively in trust and estate disputes, including relationship property disputes where trusts are involved. Her practice includes both domestic and international trust disputes where there is a New Zealand law or jurisdiction aspect. Vanessa is a member of STEP (Society for Trust and Estate Practitioners) and a frequent speaker at NZLS, ADLS and other seminars.

### **Acknowledgement**

*This webinar is sourced from a session delivered at the NZLS CLE Ltd, Trusts – best practice in 2013 conference, June 2013.*

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

## CONTENTS

<b>TRUSTS CAN SURVIVE A RELATIONSHIP BREAKDOWN – MYTH OR REALITY? .....</b>	<b>1</b>
HOT OFF THE JUDICIAL PRESS.....	1
PETRODEL – “FAIRNESS” VS. FORM .....	2
<i>Petrodel – Family Division .....</i>	2
<i>Petrodel – Court of Appeal .....</i>	2
<i>Petrodel – Supreme Court.....</i>	3
NO INTENTION/SHAM AND FAIRNESS RISKS – <i>CLAYTON V MCGLOSKEY</i> .....	5
SHAM/ILLUSORY TRUST DISTINCTION .....	9
<i>A confusion of labels? .....</i>	10
CLAYMARK TRUST – S 182 CLAIMS.....	11
CONCLUSION ON NO TRUST CREATED/SHAM AND FAIRNESS RISKS .....	12
THEMES .....	13
LESSONS FOR TRUSTEES AND THEIR ADVISORS AT THE STRUCTURING AND ADMINISTRATION STAGE .....	13
THE DRAFTING PERSPECTIVE .....	13
<i>Law Commission Proposals .....</i>	14
ADMINISTRATION PERSPECTIVE .....	16
FAIRNESS PERSPECTIVE.....	16
THE TRUSTEES’ ROLE – TIPS FOR TRUSTEES AND TRUST ADVISORS .....	17
<i>Recent cases – high standards imposed on trustees and their advisors .....</i>	18
LESSONS TO BE LEARNT .....	19
AFTER THE SPLIT – WHEN THE INDEPENDENT TRUSTEE/ADVISOR IS TOLD THE SPOUSES HAVE SPLIT.....	20
<i>Conflict of interest issues .....</i>	20
<i>Separate representation .....</i>	20
<i>Independent advice.....</i>	21
CONCLUSION .....	21
<b>APPENDIX “A” – ANALYSIS OF TRUST DEEDS IN CLAYTON AND HOTCHIN.....</b>	<b>23</b>